arXiv:1703.06194v1 [math.AP] 17 Mar 2017

Decay rates at infinity for solutions to periodic Schrödinger equations

Daniel M. Elton

March 21, 2017

Abstract

We consider the equation $\Delta u = Vu$ in exterior domains in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbb{R}^3$, where V has certain periodicity properties. In particular we show that such equations cannot have non-trivial superexponentially decaying solutions. As an application this leads to a new proof for the absolute continuity of the spectrum of particular periodic Schrödinger operators. The equation $\Delta u = Vu$ is studied as part of a broader class of elliptic evolution equations.

1 Introduction

We are interested in the possible decay rate of (distributional) solutions to the equation

$$(-\Delta + V)u = Eu \tag{1}$$

where Δ is the Laplace operator on $\mathbb{R}^d$, V is a measurable function and E is a constant. Landis (see [KL]) asked if the boundedness of V is sufficient to exclude superexponentially decaying solutions. More precisely, suppose V is bounded and u solves (1) in the exterior region $\Omega_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| > R\}$, $R > 0$, while $e^{\lambda|x|}u$ is bounded on Ω_R for all $\lambda > 0$; does it follow that $u \equiv 0$ on Ω_R?

Viewing E as a spectral parameter (1) is the spectral equation for the Schrödinger operator with potential V. In this context Simon ([S]) posed a related question about superexponentially decaying solutions; in particular, if V is such that $-\Delta + V$ defines a self-adjoint operator with a non-compact resolvent does any non-trivial solution of (1) satisfy $e^{\lambda|x|}u \notin L^2$ for some $\lambda > 0$? Note that, V must be real-valued for $-\Delta + V$ to be self-adjoint while $-\Delta + V$ has a non-compact resolvent for any bounded V.

If one considers complex-valued V the answer to Landis' question is negative. In particular, given $\varepsilon \in [0, 1/2)$ there exists a continuous complex-valued V on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and non-trivial $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $V(x) = O(|x|^{-\varepsilon})$ as $|x| \to \infty$, $\Delta u = Vu$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$, and $e^{\lambda|x|^{(4-2\varepsilon)/3}}u \in L^\infty$ for some $\lambda > 0$; see [M2] for the case $\varepsilon = 0$ and [C-S] for the generalisation to $\varepsilon > 0$.

On the other hand Landis' question is known to have a positive answer when $d = 1$ (essentially a classical result for ordinary differential equations), when $d = 2$, $E = 0$ and $V \geq 0$ ([KLW]), and for any $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and V with $V(x) = O(|x|^{-1/2})$ as $|x| \to \infty$ ([FH1], [M1]). For $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and bounded real-valued V, superexponentially decaying solutions

of (1) can also be excluded under some conditions which stabilise $V(x)$ for large x; in particular this holds when (the distributional derivative) $(x.\nabla)V$ is also bounded ([BM], [FH1]). A complete answer to Landis' question for real-valued potentials, or to the more general question of Simon, remains unknown.

In the present work we consider periodic functions V and obtain the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $d = 2$ or 3 and suppose $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is periodic with respect to some rational lattice $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of rank $d-1$. If $u \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}$ is a (distributional) solution of $(-\Delta + V)u = 0$ on Ω_R for some $R > 0$, and satisfies*

$$\int_{\Omega_R} e^{2\lambda|x|}|u(x)|^2\,dx < +\infty \tag{2}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$, then we must have $u \equiv 0$ on Ω_R.

Remark. We note that V is allowed to be complex-valued, while solutions of (1) are covered since the constant E can clearly be absorbed into V. Also, since all rank 1 lattices are rational the rationality condition only imposes an extra constraint when $d = 3$. Finally the conditions on V are satisfied by any potential which is periodic with respect to a full rank lattice, provided this lattice has a rational rank $d-1$ sublattice.

Theorem 1.1 and related results on the non-existence of solutions with certain types of decay can be viewed as unique continuation theorems at infinity for (1). The implied lower bounds on the decay rate (possibly in a more quantitative form) have applications to spectral questions for Schrödinger operators (such as the exclusion of embedded eigenvalues; see [FH2] for example). For periodic potentials an important link was established in [K, Theorem 4.1.5]; in particular, if $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is periodic with respect to a full rank lattice then the self-adjoint operator $-\Delta + V$ has an eigenvalue iff (1) has a super-exponentially decaying solution. As a corollary of Theorem 1.1 we thus obtain a new proof for the following particular case of a well known result of Thomas ([T]).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $d = 2$ or 3 and suppose $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is real-valued and periodic with respect to a full rank lattice on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which contains a rational rank $d-1$ sublattice. Then the spectrum of the self-adjoint operator $-\Delta + V$ contains no eigenvalues.*

Existing proofs of this result and its many generalisations make use of Bloch/Floquet analysis and the analytic extension of the resulting operators into complex values of the quasi-momentum.

Theorem 1.1 is obtained as a special case of a more general result which we now describe. Let A be a lower semi-bounded self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space X. For $j = 0, 1, 2$ set $X_j = \mathrm{Dom}(|A|^{j/2}) \subseteq X$, so $X_2 = \mathrm{Dom}(A)$, X_1 is the form domain of A and $X_0 = X$. For $j = 1, 2$ we can make X_j into a Hilbert space using the isomorphism $|A|^{j/2} + I : X_j \to X$.

Let ∇_t denote differentiation with respect to t. We want to consider the operator $\nabla_t^2 - A$ which maps $\mathcal{X}^2_{\mathrm{loc}} \to \mathcal{X}^0_{\mathrm{loc}}$ where

$$\mathcal{X}^2_{\mathrm{loc}} = L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+, X_2) \cap H^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+, X_1) \cap H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+, X) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{X}^0_{\mathrm{loc}} = L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+, X).$$

We are interested in the possible decay rate of functions $\phi \in \mathcal{X}^2_{\rm loc}$ which satisfy $(\nabla_t^2 - A)\phi = B_t\phi$ where $t \mapsto B_t$ is a uniformly bounded family of operators on X. In particular, a suitable condition on the gaps in the spectrum of A can be used to exclude solutions with superexponential decay as $t \to +\infty$.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose* $\operatorname{spec}(A)$ *has arbitrarily large positive gaps. If* $\phi \in \mathcal{X}^2_{\rm loc}$ *solves the equation* $(\nabla_t^2 - A)\phi = B_t\phi$ *and satisfies*

$$\int_0^\infty e^{2\lambda t}\|\phi(t)\|_X^2\, dt < +\infty \tag{3}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$*, then we must have* $\phi \equiv 0$*.*

The conditions on A are satisfied by a number of standard operators. For example, one could take A to be minus the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the n-sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$ for $n \geq 1$, or any positive elliptic pseudo-differential operator of order m on a closed n-dimensional manifold provided $m > n$. In order to deduce Theorem 1.1 we need to consider the Laplacian on 2 (and 1)-dimensional tori; the rationality assumption is then used to establish the required gap condition (see Proposition 2.2 below). It is not known if the same condition is satisfied for arbitrary 2-dimensional tori.

We can consider $\nabla_t^2 - A$ as an "elliptic evolution operator". The corresponding parabolic and hyperbolic evolution operators, $\nabla_t + A$ and $\nabla_t^2 + A$ respectively, were considered in [M2] where results in the spirit of Theorem 1.3 were obtained.

Theorem 1.3 is obtained from a Carleman type estimate (see Proposition 3.1) using a standard argument; this is presented in Section 3, with the proof of the Carleman estimate being given in Section 4. In Section 2 we deduce Theorem 1.1 from Theorem 1.3 and consideration of gaps in the spectra of Laplace type operators on the torus. Section 5 contains a number theoretic result we use to deal with the latter.

2 Periodic result

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rank $d-1$ lattice. Choose a basis $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{d-1}\}$ for Γ. We may rotate the coordinate system to ensure that $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{d-1}$ span $\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \{0\}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we will use the notation $x = (\widetilde{x}, t)$ where $\widetilde{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and $t = x_d$. Identifying $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \{0\}$ we shall also view Γ as a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Let $\Gamma^\dagger \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ denote the dual of Γ and let $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}^\dagger$ denote unit cells of Γ and $\Gamma^\dagger$ respectively.

Set $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}^{d-1}/\Gamma$, the $(d-1)$-dimensional torus corresponding to Γ. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the function $\widetilde{x} \mapsto V(\widetilde{x}, t)$ is Γ-periodic so can be viewed as a function $V_t \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. Note that, the mapping $t \mapsto V_t$ is uniformly bounded in t. For each $\theta \in \mathcal{O}^\dagger$ define a non-negative self-adjoint elliptic operator on $\mathbb{T}$ by

$$H_\theta = (-i\nabla_{\widetilde{x}} + \theta)^2.$$

For each $T > 0$ the operator $\nabla_t^2 - H_\theta$ maps $\mathcal{X}^2_{T,\rm loc} \to \mathcal{X}^0_{T,\rm loc}$ where

$$\mathcal{X}^2_{T,\rm loc} = \bigcap_{j=0,1,2} H^j_{\rm loc}((T,\infty), H^{2-j}(\mathbb{T})) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{X}^0_{T,\rm loc} = L^2_{\rm loc}((T,\infty), L^2(\mathbb{T})).$$

Let $u \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega_R)$. For each $\theta \in \mathcal{O}^\dagger$ and $t > R$ set

$$\phi_\theta(\widetilde{x}; t) = \sum_{l \in \Gamma} e^{-i\theta.(\widetilde{x}+l)} u(\widetilde{x} + l, t); \tag{4}$$

this expression is clearly Γ-periodic in $\widetilde{x}$ so can be viewed as a function on $\mathbb{T}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose u is a distributional solution of $(-\Delta + V)u = 0$ on Ω_R and satisfies (2) for some $\lambda > 0$. Then, for each $\theta \in \mathcal{O}^\dagger$, $\phi_\theta \in \mathcal{X}^2_{R+1,\mathrm{loc}}$ with $(\nabla_t^2 - H_\theta)\phi_\theta = V_t \phi_\theta$ and*

$$\int_{R+1}^{\infty} e^{2\lambda' t} \|\phi_\theta(\cdot; t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}\, dt < +\infty \tag{5}$$

for any $0 < \lambda' < \lambda$. Furthermore ϕ_θ depends continuously on θ.

Proof. Set

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ r_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + r_{d-1}\mathbf{e}_{d-1} : r_1, \ldots, r_{d-1} \in (-1/2, 3/2) \right\}$$

so $\bigcup_{l\in\Gamma}(\mathcal{P} + l)$ is a 2^{d-1}-fold covering of $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. For any $l \in \Gamma$ and $s > 0$ let $\mathcal{O}_{l,s} = (\mathcal{O} + l) \times (s, s+1)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{l,s} = (\mathcal{P} + l) \times (s-1, s+2)$. Note that $\mathcal{O}_{l,s} \subset\subset \mathcal{P}_{l,s}$. Since $u \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}$, $\Delta u = Vu$ as distributions and V is uniformly bounded, we get $u \in H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}$ and

$$\|u\|_{H^2(\mathcal{O}_{l,s})} \le C_{1,V} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{P}_{l,s})} \tag{6}$$

for some constant $C_{1,V}$ which can be chosen independently of $l \in \Gamma$ and $s \ge R + 1$. Also let $w = \sup\{|\widetilde{x}| : \widetilde{x} \in \mathcal{O}\}$ so $\sup\{|\widetilde{x}| : \widetilde{x} \in \mathcal{P}\} = 3w/2$.

Let $0 < \lambda' < \lambda$ and set $\kappa = (\lambda^2 - (\lambda')^2)^{1/2} > 0$. Note that $\lambda|x| \ge \kappa|\widetilde{x}| + \lambda'|t|$ for all $x = (\widetilde{x}, t)$. In particular, (2) leads to

$$\int_R^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} e^{2\lambda' t} e^{2\kappa|\widetilde{x}|} |u(\widetilde{x}, t)|^2\, d\widetilde{x}\, dt \le \int_{\Omega_R} e^{2\lambda|x|} |u(x)|^2\, dx < +\infty. \tag{7}$$

It is straightforward to check that $\phi_\theta \in \mathcal{X}^2_{R+1,\mathrm{loc}}$ iff $\phi_\theta \in H^2(\mathbb{T} \times (s, s+1))$ for any $s \ge R + 1$. However, from (4) and (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_\theta\|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}\times(s,s+1))} &\le \sum_{l\in\Gamma} \|e^{-i\theta.\widetilde{x}}\|_{C^2(\mathcal{O}+l)} \|u\|_{H^2(\mathcal{O}_{l,s})} \\ &\le C_{1,V} C_2 \sum_{l\in\Gamma} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{P}_{l,s})} \le C_{1,V} C_2 C_{3,\kappa} (N_{\kappa,s})^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_2 = \sup_{\theta\in\mathcal{O}^\dagger} \|e^{-i\theta.\widetilde{x}}\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}$, $C^2_{3,\kappa} = \sum_{l\in\Gamma} e^{-2\kappa|l|}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\kappa,s} &= \sum_{l\in\Gamma} e^{2\kappa|l|} \|u\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{P}_{l,s})} \\ &\le e^{3\kappa w} \sum_{l\in\Gamma} \int_{s-1}^{s+2} \int_{\mathcal{P}+l} e^{2\kappa|\widetilde{x}|} |u(\widetilde{x}, t)|^2\, d\widetilde{x}\, dt = 2^{d-1} e^{3\kappa w} \int_{s-1}^{s+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} e^{2\kappa|\widetilde{x}|} |u(\widetilde{x}, t)|^2\, d\widetilde{x}\, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|\phi_\theta\|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}\times(s,s+1))} < +\infty$ by (7).

For any $l \in \Gamma$ and $\theta, \theta' \in \mathcal{O}^\dagger$ put

$$\delta_l(\theta,\theta') = \big\| e^{-i\theta.(\widetilde{x}+l)} - e^{-i\theta'.(\widetilde{x}+l)} \big\|_{C^2(\mathcal{O})}.$$

Arguing as above we get

$$\|\phi_\theta - \phi_{\theta'}\|^2_{H^2(\mathbb{T}\times(s,s+1))} \le C_{1,V}^2 C_{3,\kappa}^2 \sum_{l\in\Gamma} \delta_l(\theta,\theta')^2\, e^{2\kappa|l|} \|u\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{P}_{l,s})}.$$

However $N_{\kappa,s} < +\infty$ while, for fixed $l \in \Gamma$, $\delta_l(\theta,\theta') \le 2C_2$ and $\delta_l(\theta,\theta') \to 0$ as $|\theta-\theta'| \to 0$. Dominated convergence then gives $\|\phi_\theta - \phi_{\theta'}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}\times(s,s+1))} \to 0$ as $|\theta-\theta'| \to 0$.

From (4), the definition of H_θ and the Γ-periodicity of V we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_t^2 - H_\theta)\phi_\theta(\widetilde{x};t) &= \sum_{l\in\Gamma} e^{-i\theta.(\widetilde{x}+l)} \big(\nabla_t^2 - (-i\nabla_{\widetilde{x}} + \theta - \theta)^2\big) u(\widetilde{x}+l,t) \\
&= \sum_{l\in\Gamma} e^{-i\theta.(\widetilde{x}+l)} \Delta u(\widetilde{x}+l,t) \\
&= V(\widetilde{x},t) \sum_{l\in\Gamma} e^{-i\theta.(\widetilde{x}+l)} u(\widetilde{x}+l,t) = V_t(\widetilde{x})\phi_\theta(\widetilde{x};t).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, using a similar argument to those above,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{R+1}^\infty e^{2\lambda' t} \|\phi_\theta(\cdot;t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}\, dt &\le \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{2\lambda'(R+n+1)} \|\phi_\theta\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}\times(R+n,R+n+1))} \\
&\le \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{2\lambda'(R+n+1)} C_{3,\kappa}^2 \sum_{l\in\Gamma} e^{2\kappa|l|} \|u\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{O}_{l,R+n})} \\
&\le C_{3,\kappa} e^{2\lambda'} e^{2\kappa w} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{l\in\Gamma} \int_{R+n}^{R+n+1} \int_{\mathcal{O}+l} e^{2\lambda' t} e^{2\kappa|\widetilde{x}|} |u_l(\widetilde{x},t)|^2\, d\widetilde{x}\, dt \\
&= C_{3,\kappa}^2 e^{2\lambda'} e^{2\kappa w} \int_{R+1}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} e^{2\lambda' t} e^{2\kappa|\widetilde{x}|} |u(\widetilde{x},t)|^2\, d\widetilde{x}\, dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate (5) now follows from (7). ∎

It is straightforward to check that

$$\operatorname{spec}(H_\theta) = \big\{ |k+\theta|^2 : k \in \Gamma^\dagger \big\}.$$

The next result establishes a key hypothesis for Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose Γ is rational and let $\theta \in \mathcal{O}^\dagger$. If $d = 3$ suppose further that θ has rational coordinates with respect to (a basis for) $\Gamma^\dagger$. Then* $\operatorname{spec}(H_\theta)$ *contains arbitrarily large positive gaps.*

When $d = 3$ we need to use information about the gaps in the values realised by a binary integral quadratic form; the required result is of independent interest and is given separately in Section 5. Also note, Proposition 2.2 was observed in [M2] for the special case $\Gamma = (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^2$, $\theta = 0$.

Proof. When $d = 2$ we have $\Gamma^\dagger = f\mathbb{Z}$ and $\theta \in [0, f)$ for some $f \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then $\mathrm{spec}(H_\theta) = \{(mf + \theta)^2 : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$; the existence of arbitrarily large gaps follows easily.

Now suppose $d = 3$. Choose a basis $\{\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2\}$ for the lattice $\Gamma^\dagger \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Since Γ is rational the same is true for $\Gamma^\dagger$. Thus we can find $\kappa > 0$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$|\mathbf{f}_1|^2 = \kappa a, \quad |\mathbf{f}_2|^2 = \kappa c \quad \text{and} \quad 2\mathbf{f}_1 \cdot \mathbf{f}_2 = \kappa b.$$

Clearly we may assume a, b, c are coprime.

Let $k \in \Gamma^\dagger$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{O}^\dagger$ so we can write $k = m\mathbf{f}_1 + n\mathbf{f}_2$ and $\theta = \mu\mathbf{f}_1 + \nu\mathbf{f}_2$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mu, \nu \in [0, 1)$. Note that, our hypothesis on θ is precisely that μ and ν are rational. Now

$$\begin{aligned} |k + \theta|^2 &= \left|(m + \mu)\mathbf{f}_1 + (n + \nu)\mathbf{f}_2\right|^2 \\ &= (m + \mu)^2|\mathbf{f}_1|^2 + 2(m + \mu)(n + \nu)\mathbf{f}_1 \cdot \mathbf{f}_2 + (n + \nu)|\mathbf{f}_2|^2 \\ &= \kappa\mathbf{q}(m + \mu, n + \nu) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{q}$ is the binary integral quadratic form given by $\mathbf{q}(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$. Clearly $\mathbf{q}$ is positive definite. Let $Q = \{\mathbf{q}(x, y) : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ denote the values of $\mathbf{q}$ realised by integer arguments. Since μ and ν are rational we can write $\mu = r/l$ and $\nu = s/l$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r, s \in \{0, \dots, l - 1\}$. Then

$$|k + \theta|^2 = \frac{\kappa}{l^2}\mathbf{q}(lm + r, ln + s) \in \frac{\kappa}{l^2}Q.$$

Hence $\mathrm{spec}(H_\theta) \subseteq \kappa Q/l^2$. However Theorem 5.1 shows Q contains arbitrarily large positive gaps, so the same must be true for $\mathrm{spec}(H_\theta)$. ∎

We can now obtain Theorem 1.1 us a straightforward corollary of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For each $\theta \in \mathcal{O}^\dagger$ Lemma 2.1 and (2) show that $\phi_\theta \in \mathcal{X}^2_{R+1,\mathrm{loc}}$ solves $(\nabla_t^2 - H_\theta)\phi_\theta = V_t\phi_\theta$ and satisfies

$$\int_{R+1}^\infty e^{2\lambda t}\|\phi_\theta(\cdot; t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}\, dt < +\infty$$

for all $\lambda > 0$. If $d = 2$ or $d = 3$, $\Gamma^\dagger$ is rational and θ has rational coordinates with respect to $\Gamma^\dagger$, Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 1.3 then show $\phi_\theta(\cdot; t) \equiv 0$ for all $t > R + 1$. However the set of $\theta \in \mathcal{O}^\dagger$ with rational coordinates is dense, while ϕ_θ depends continuously on θ by Lemma 2.1. It follows that $\phi_\theta(\cdot; t) \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{T}$ for all $\theta \in \mathcal{O}^\dagger$ and $t > R + 1$.

Now let $\widetilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and choose $\widetilde{x}' \in \mathcal{O}$ and $l' \in \Gamma$ with $\widetilde{x} = \widetilde{x}' + l'$. Then, for $t > R + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{O}^\dagger|}\int_{\mathcal{O}^\dagger} e^{i\theta.\widetilde{x}}\phi_\theta(\widetilde{x}'; t)\, d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{O}^\dagger|}\int_{\mathcal{O}^\dagger}\sum_{l\in\Gamma} e^{i\theta.(l'-l)}u(\widetilde{x}' + l, t)\, d\theta = \sum_{l\in\Gamma}\delta_{ll'}u(\widetilde{x}' + l, t) = u(\widetilde{x}, t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $u \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times (R+1, \infty) \subset \Omega_R$. Unique continuation (see [RS, Theorem XIII.63], for example) then shows u is trivial on Ω_R. ∎

3 General result

Theorem 1.3 follows from a standard argument based on the following Carleman type estimate, the proof of which is deferred to Section 4. For convenience choose $\alpha \geq 0$ with $A + \alpha I \geq 0$; in particular $\operatorname{spec}(A) \subseteq [-\alpha, +\infty)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose $(\mu^2, \nu^2) \cap \operatorname{spec}(A) = \emptyset$ for some $0 < \mu < \nu$ with $3\mu^2 > \alpha$. Set $\lambda = (\mu + \nu)/2$ and $\gamma = \nu - \mu$. For any $\phi \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^+, X_2)$ we have*

$$\frac{\gamma^2}{4} \int_0^\infty e^{2\lambda t} \big[\mu^2 \|\phi(t)\|_X^2 + \|\nabla_t \phi(t)\|_X^2\big]\, dt \leq \int_0^\infty e^{2\lambda t} \|(\nabla_t^2 - A)\phi(t)\|_X^2\, dt. \tag{8}$$

To apply this estimate we need to use the bounds on $\|\phi(t)\|_X$ given by (3) to obtain similar bounds for $\|\nabla_t \phi(t)\|_X$.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose $\phi \in \mathcal{X}^2_{\mathrm{loc}}$ satisfies (3) for some $\lambda > 0$ and $\|(\nabla_t^2 - A)\phi(t)\|_X \leq \beta \|\phi(t)\|_X$ for some $\beta > 0$ and all $t > 0$. Then*

$$\int_\varepsilon^\infty e^{2\lambda t} \|\nabla_t \phi(t)\|_X^2\, dt < +\infty$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. Set $N = (A + \alpha I)^{1/2}$. For any $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty h^2 \big[\|\nabla_t \phi\|_X^2 + \|N\phi\|_X^2\big] \\
&\quad = -\int_0^\infty h^2 \big\langle \phi, (\nabla_t^2 - N^2)\phi \big\rangle_X - 2\int_0^\infty h h' \langle \phi, \nabla_t \phi \rangle_X \\
&\quad = \int_0^\infty h^2 \big\langle \phi, (\alpha I - (\nabla_t^2 - A))\phi \big\rangle_X - 2\int_0^\infty h h' \langle \phi, \nabla_t \phi \rangle_X \\
&\quad \leq (\alpha + \beta) \int_0^\infty h^2 \|\phi\|_X^2 + 2\int_0^\infty (h')^2 \|\phi\|_X^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty h^2 \|\nabla_t \phi\|_X^2
\end{aligned}$$

since $-4hh' \langle \phi, \nabla_t \phi \rangle_X \leq 4(h')^2 \|\phi\|_X^2 + h^2 \|\nabla_t \phi\|_X^2$. If $\varepsilon > 0$ we can then choose h to be an appropriate translate of a function with support in $(-\varepsilon, 1 + \varepsilon)$ and value 1 on $(0, 1)$ to find $C_{4,\varepsilon} > 0$ such that

$$\int_s^{s+1} \|\nabla_t \phi\|_X^2 \leq C_{4,\varepsilon} \int_{s-\varepsilon}^{s+1+\varepsilon} \|\phi\|_X^2$$

for all $s \geq \varepsilon$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\varepsilon^\infty e^{2\lambda t} \|\nabla_t \phi\|_X^2 &\leq \sum_{n=0}^\infty e^{2\lambda(n+1+\varepsilon)} \int_{n+\varepsilon}^{n+1+\varepsilon} \|\nabla_t \phi\|_X^2 \\
&\leq C_{4,\varepsilon} \sum_{n=0}^\infty e^{2\lambda(1+\varepsilon)} e^{2\lambda n} \int_n^{n+1+2\varepsilon} \|\phi\|_X^2 \\
&\leq C_{4,\varepsilon} e^{2\lambda(1+\varepsilon)} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \int_n^{n+1+2\varepsilon} e^{2\lambda t} \|\phi\|_X^2 \\
&\leq 2(1+\varepsilon) C_{4,\varepsilon} e^{2\lambda(1+\varepsilon)} \int_0^\infty e^{2\lambda t} \|\phi\|_X^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The result follows. ∎

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\varepsilon < 1$. For each $R > 1$ choose a cut-off function $h_R \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\operatorname{supp}(h_R) \subseteq (0, 2R)$, $h_R(t) = 1$ for $t \in [\varepsilon, R]$, $h_R(t)$ independent of R for $t \leq \varepsilon$,

$$\sup_{t\in[R,2R]} h_R'(t) \leq \frac{2}{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t\in[R,2R]} h_R''(t) \leq \frac{8}{R^2}. \tag{9}$$

Now suppose $(\mu^2, \nu^2) \cap \operatorname{spec}(A) = \emptyset$ for some $0 < \mu < \nu$ with $3\mu^2 > \alpha$. Set $\lambda = (\mu + \nu)/2$ and $\gamma = \nu - \mu$. Since $C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^+, X_2)$ is dense in $\mathcal{X}^2_{\text{loc}}$ we can find an approximating sequence for $h_R\phi$ in $C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^+, X_2)$. Apply Proposition 3.1 to elements of this sequence, dropping the term containing $\|\nabla_t\phi(t)\|_X^2$ on the left hand side of (8); taking the limit and noting that $(\nabla_t^2 - A)(h_R\phi) = h_R''\phi + 2h_R'\nabla_t\phi + h_R B_t\phi$ we get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mu^2\gamma^2}{4}\int_0^\infty e^{2\lambda t}h_R^2\|\phi\|_X^2 &\leq \int_0^\infty e^{2\lambda t}\big\|h_R''\phi + 2h_R'\nabla_t\phi + h_R B_t\phi\big\|_X^2 \\ &\leq 3\beta^2\int_0^\infty e^{2\lambda t}h_R^2\|\phi\|_X^2 + \int_0^\infty e^{2\lambda t}\big[3(h_R'')^2\|\phi\|_X^2 + 12(h_R')^2\|\nabla_t\phi\|_X^2\big]\end{aligned}$$

where β is a uniform bound for the operator norm of B_t. Using (9) the second term on the right hand side can be bounded from above by

$$C_{5,\varepsilon}e^{2\lambda\varepsilon} + \frac{192}{R^4}\int_R^{2R} e^{2\lambda t}\|\phi\|_X^2 + \frac{48}{R^2}\int_R^{2R} e^{2\lambda t}\|\nabla_t\phi\|_X^2$$

where

$$C_{5,\varepsilon} = \int_0^\varepsilon \big[3(h_R'')^2\|\phi\|_X^2 + 12(h_R')^2\|\nabla_t\phi\|_X^2\big]$$

which is independent of R and λ. It follows that

$$\Big(\frac{\mu^2\gamma^2}{4} - 3\beta^2\Big)\int_\varepsilon^R e^{2\lambda t}\|\phi\|_X^2 \leq C_{5,\varepsilon}e^{2\lambda\varepsilon} + \frac{192}{R^4}\int_R^{2R} e^{2\lambda t}\|\phi\|_X^2 + \frac{48}{R^2}\int_R^{2R} e^{2\lambda t}\|\nabla_t\phi\|_X^2.$$

However, by hypothesis and Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{2\lambda t}\|\phi\|_X^2,\ \int_\varepsilon^\infty e^{2\lambda t}\|\nabla_t\phi\|_X^2 < +\infty.$$

Hence we can take $R \to \infty$ to obtain

$$\Big(\frac{\mu^2\gamma^2}{4} - 3\beta^2\Big)\int_\varepsilon^\infty e^{2\lambda t}\|\phi\|_X^2 \leq C_{5,\varepsilon}e^{2\lambda\varepsilon}.$$

Now suppose ϕ is not identically 0 for $t > \varepsilon$. Thus we can find $\delta > \varepsilon$ such that $D := \int_\delta^\infty \|\phi\|_X^2 > 0$. Then

$$\int_\varepsilon^\infty e^{2\lambda t}\|\phi\|_X^2 \geq De^{2\lambda\delta} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Big(\frac{\mu^2\gamma^2}{4} - 3\beta^2\Big)D \leq C_{5,\varepsilon}e^{-2\lambda(\delta-\varepsilon)}. \tag{10}$$

From our hypothesis we can find a sequence of gaps (μ_n^2, ν_n^2), $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, in $\operatorname{spec}(A)$ such that $\nu_n^2 - \mu_n^2 \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. It follows that $\nu_n \to \infty$. We may also assume $\mu_n \geq \nu_n/2$ for all n (taking only the upper part of each gap if necessary). Then

$$\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2}(\nu_n + \mu_n) \geq \frac{3}{4}\nu_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_n\gamma_n \geq \frac{1}{3}(\nu_n + \mu_n)(\nu_n - \mu_n) = \frac{1}{3}(\nu_n^2 - \mu_n^2)$$

so λ_n, $\mu_n\gamma_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. It follows that the inequality on the right hand side of (10) will be contradicted for all sufficiently large n. Thus $\phi(t) = 0$ for $t > \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary the result follows. ∎

4 Carleman estimate

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let P_- and P_+ denote the orthogonal spectral projections of A on X corresponding to the intervals $[-\alpha, \mu^2]$ and $[\nu^2, +\infty)$ respectively. Note that $P_- + P_+ = I$. Set $\psi = (\nabla_t^2 - A)\phi$. Denote the corresponding projections by $\phi_\pm = P_\pm \phi$ and $\psi_\pm = P_\pm \psi$; in particular, $\psi_\pm = (\nabla_t^2 - A)\phi_\pm$. Introduce the operator $N = (AP_+)^{1/2}$; this commutes with A, $P_\pm$ and ∇_t, and defines bounded maps $X_2 \to X_1$ and $X_1 \to X$.

To move from a second order equation to a system of first order ones we introduce spaces $\mathbf{X}_j = X_j \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$, and put $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_0$. Setting

$$\mathbf{Q}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} P_- & 0 \\ 0 & P_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Q}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} P_+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Q}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_+ \end{pmatrix}$$

gives orthogonal projections on $\mathbf{X}$ with $\mathbf{Q}_0 + \mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{Q}_2 = \mathbf{I}$. Let

$$\mathbf{\Phi} = e^{\lambda t} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_t \phi + (\mu P_- + N)\phi \\ \nabla_t \phi - (\mu P_- + N)\phi \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{\Psi} = e^{\lambda t} \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $j = 0, 1, 2$ denote the corresponding projections by $\mathbf{\Phi}_j = \mathbf{Q}_j \mathbf{\Phi}$ and $\mathbf{\Psi}_j = \mathbf{Q}_j \mathbf{\Psi}$. Then

$$\mathbf{\Phi}_0 = e^{\lambda t} \begin{pmatrix} (\nabla_t + \mu)\phi_- \\ (\nabla_t - \mu)\phi_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{\Phi}_1 = e^{\lambda t} \begin{pmatrix} (\nabla_t + N)\phi_+ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{\Phi}_2 = e^{\lambda t} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (\nabla_t - N)\phi_+ \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now $(\nabla_t \pm \mu)\phi_- \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+, X_2)$ and $(\nabla_t \pm N)\phi_+ \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+, X_1)$ so $\mathbf{\Phi}_0 \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbf{X}_2)$ and $\mathbf{\Phi}_1, \mathbf{\Phi}_2 \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbf{X}_1)$, while $\mathbf{\Psi}_0, \mathbf{\Psi}_1, \mathbf{\Psi}_2 \in C_0^0(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbf{X})$. We also have

$$\nabla_t(\nabla_t \pm \mu)\phi_- = (A \pm \mu \nabla_t)\phi_- + \psi_- \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_t(\nabla_t \pm N)\phi_+ = \pm N(\nabla_t \pm N)\phi_+ + \psi_+.$$

It follows that $\nabla_t \mathbf{\Phi}_j = \mathbf{M}_j \mathbf{\Phi}_j + \mathbf{\Psi}_j$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$, where

$$\mathbf{M}_0 = \frac{1}{2\mu} \begin{pmatrix} A + \mu^2 & -A + \mu^2 \\ A - \mu^2 & -A - \mu^2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_0 + \lambda \mathbf{Q}_0,$$

$$\mathbf{M}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda \mathbf{Q}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{M}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -N \end{pmatrix} + \lambda \mathbf{Q}_2.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_t \|\mathbf{\Phi}_j\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2 &= \langle \nabla_t \mathbf{\Phi}_j, \mathbf{\Phi}_j \rangle_{\mathbf{X}} + \langle \mathbf{\Phi}_j, \nabla_t \mathbf{\Phi}_j \rangle_{\mathbf{X}} \\ &= \big\langle \mathbf{\Phi}_j, (\mathbf{M}_j^* + \mathbf{M}_j)\mathbf{\Phi}_j \big\rangle_{\mathbf{X}} + 2\,\mathrm{Re}\langle \mathbf{\Psi}_j, \mathbf{\Phi}_j \rangle_{\mathbf{X}}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Now $-3\mu P_- \le -\alpha\mu^{-1} P_- \le \mu^{-1} A P_- \le \mu P_-$ and $N \ge \nu P_+$ so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_0^* + \mathbf{M}_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} (\mu^{-1}A + \mu + 2\lambda)P_- & 0 \\ 0 & (-\mu^{-1}A - \mu + 2\lambda)P_- \end{pmatrix} \\ &\ge 2(\lambda - \mu) \begin{pmatrix} P_- & 0 \\ 0 & P_- \end{pmatrix} = \gamma \mathbf{Q}_0, \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\mathbf{M}_1^* + \mathbf{M}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2N + 2\lambda P_+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ge 2(\nu + \lambda) \begin{pmatrix} P_+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ge \gamma \mathbf{Q}_1.$$

For $j = 0, 1$ (11) then leads to

$$\nabla_t \|\mathbf{\Phi}_j\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2 \geq \gamma \|\mathbf{\Phi}_j\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2 - 2\|\mathbf{\Psi}_j\|_{\mathbf{X}} \|\mathbf{\Phi}_j\|_{\mathbf{X}} \geq \frac{\gamma}{2}\|\mathbf{\Phi}_j\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2 - \frac{2}{\gamma}\|\mathbf{\Psi}_j\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2.$$

Since $\|\mathbf{\Phi}_j\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2 \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ we can now integrate this inequality to get

$$\frac{\gamma^2}{4}\int_0^\infty \|\mathbf{\Phi}_j\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2 \leq \int_0^\infty \|\mathbf{\Psi}_j\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2, \qquad j = 0, 1. \tag{12}$$

A simpler version of the above argument gives

$$\mathbf{M}_2^* + \mathbf{M}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2N + 2\lambda P_+ \end{pmatrix} \leq 2(\lambda - \nu)\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_+ \end{pmatrix} = -\gamma \mathbf{Q}_2,$$

so

$$\nabla_t \|\mathbf{\Phi}_2\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2 \leq -\gamma \|\mathbf{\Phi}_2\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2 + 2\|\mathbf{\Psi}_2\|_{\mathbf{X}} \|\mathbf{\Phi}_2\|_{\mathbf{X}} \leq -\frac{\gamma}{2}\|\mathbf{\Phi}_2\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2 + \frac{2}{\gamma}\|\mathbf{\Psi}_2\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2,$$

and hence

$$\frac{\gamma^2}{4}\int_0^\infty \|\mathbf{\Phi}_2\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2 \leq \int_0^\infty \|\mathbf{\Psi}_2\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2. \tag{13}$$

However

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathbf{\Phi}_0\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2 + \|\mathbf{\Phi}_1\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2 + \|\mathbf{\Phi}_2\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2 \\
&\quad = e^{2\lambda t}\big(\big\|(\nabla_t + \mu)\phi_-\big\|_X^2 + \big\|(\nabla_t - \mu)\phi_-\big\|_X^2 + \big\|(\nabla_t + N)\phi_+\big\|_X^2 + \big\|(\nabla_t - N)\phi_+\big\|_X^2\big) \\
&\quad = 2e^{2\lambda t}\big(\|\nabla_t\phi_-\|_X^2 + \mu^2\|\phi_-\|_X^2 + \|\nabla_t\phi_+\|_X^2 + \|N\phi_+\|_X^2\big) \\
&\quad \geq 2e^{2\lambda t}\big(\|\nabla_t\phi_-\|_X^2 + \mu^2\|\phi_-\|_X^2 + \|\nabla_t\phi_+\|_X^2 + \nu^2\|\phi_+\|_X^2\big) \\
&\quad \geq 2e^{2\lambda t}\big(\|\nabla_t\phi\|_X^2 + \mu^2\|\phi\|_X^2\big),
\end{aligned}$$

while

$$\|\mathbf{\Psi}_0\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2 + \|\mathbf{\Psi}_1\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2 + \|\mathbf{\Psi}_2\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2 = 2e^{2\lambda t}\big(\|\psi_-\|_X^2 + \|\psi_+\|_X^2\big) = 2e^{2\lambda t}\|\psi\|_X^2.$$

These can be combined with (12) and (13) to complete the result. ■

5 Binary integral quadratic forms

Consider the binary quadratic form $\mathbf{q}$ given by

$$\mathbf{q}(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let

$$Q = \{\mathbf{q}(x, y) : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

denote the set of values realised by $\mathbf{q}$ as x and y range over $\mathbb{Z}$. Clearly $Q \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ while Q is unbounded unless $a = b = c = 0$ (in which case $Q = \{0\}$). We are interested the size of the gaps in Q. In particular, if $\mathbf{q}$ is positive definite we show that Q has arbitrarily large positive gaps.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose* $\mathbf{q}$ *is positive definite. For each* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *there exists* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that the set* $Q \cap [m, m+k-1]$ *is empty.*

Remark. If $\mathbf{q}$ is negative definite then $-\mathbf{q}$ is positive definite and an appropriate statement about the gaps in Q follows directly. The same result (with a different proof) also holds for semi-definite forms. On the other hand the result does not generalise to all indefinite forms (but only those whose discriminant is not a square).

Let p be a prime. An integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a *quadratic residue modulo* p if $k \equiv x^2 \mod p$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. If p is odd the *Legendre symbol* is defined as

$$\left(\frac{k}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } p \nmid k \text{ and } k \text{ is a quadratic residue modulo } p, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \mid k, \\ -1 & \text{if } p \nmid k \text{ and } k \text{ is not a quadratic residue modulo } p. \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to check that the map $n \mapsto \left(\frac{n}{p}\right)$ is completely multiplicative and periodic with period p (see [R, Theorem 4.1.3]). More generally, the calculation of Legendre symbols makes use of (the rather deeper) quadratic reciprocity and its supplements; these are the key ingredients in the next result.

Proposition 5.2. *Let* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *If* p *is prime and* $p \equiv -1 \mod 8n$ *then* $\left(\frac{-n}{p}\right) = -1$.

Proof. Suppose p is prime and $p \equiv -1 \mod 8n$. Write $n = 2^{s_0} q_1^{s_1} \dots q_J^{s_J}$ with $J, s_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $s_1, \dots, s_J \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q_1, \dots, q_J$ distinct odd primes. Since $\gcd(p, 8n) = 1$ the primes $p, 2, q_1, \dots, q_J$ are all distinct; in particular p is odd. Also note $p \equiv -1 \mod 8$ and $p \equiv -1 \mod q_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, J$.

Since the Legendre symbol is completely multiplicative we get

$$\left(\frac{-n}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{s_0}\left(\frac{q_1}{p}\right)^{s_1} \dots \left(\frac{q_J}{p}\right)^{s_J}. \tag{14}$$

The first and second supplements (see [R, Theorems 4.1.3(i) and 4.1.5]) lead to

$$\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} = (-1)^{-1} = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = (-1)^{(p^2-1)/8} = (-1)^0 = +1.$$

For $j = 1, \dots, J$ quadratic reciprocity (see [R, Theorem 4.2.1]), periodicity of the Legendre symbol and further use of the first supplement also give

$$\left(\frac{q_j}{p}\right) = (-1)^{(p-1)(q_j-1)/4}\left(\frac{p}{q_j}\right) = (-1)^{-(q_j-1)/2}\left(\frac{-1}{q_j}\right) = (-1)^{-(q_j-1)/2}(-1)^{(q_j-1)/2} = +1.$$

Thus the first term on the right hand side of (14) is -1 while all of the remaining terms are $+1$. ∎

By Dirichlet's Theorem (see [R, Section 13.1]) we know that there are infinitely many primes in the arithmetic progression $8n-1$, $16n-1$, $24n-1, \dots$. The following is now an immediate consequence of Proposition 5.2.

Corollary 5.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\left(\frac{-n}{p}\right) = -1$ for infinitely many primes p.*

The quantity $d = b^2 - 4ac$ is known as the *discriminant* of $\mathbf{q}$. It is clear that we must have $d \equiv 0, 1 \mod 4$; with a bit more effort it can also seen that all such integers arise as discriminants of binary quadratic forms. Note that

$$4a\mathbf{q}(x, y) = 4a^2x^2 + 4abxy + 4acy^2 = (2ax + by)^2 - dy^2.$$

Thus the form $\mathbf{q}$ is definite iff $d < 0$; in this case a and c have the same sign with $a, c > 0$ corresponding to a positive definite form and $a, c < 0$ corresponding to a negative definite form. If $d = 0$ the form $\mathbf{q}$ is semi-definite, again with sign determined by a (or, equivalently, c). If $d > 0$ the form $\mathbf{q}$ is indefinite.

The next result is our key connection between the values realised by $\mathbf{q}$ and d.

Proposition 5.4. *Let p be a prime. If $\mathbf{q}(x, y) = n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \equiv p \mod p^2$ then d is a quadratic residue modulo p.*

Before giving the proof we state a trivial corollary.

Corollary 5.5. *Suppose $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = -1$ for some odd prime p. If $n \equiv p \mod p^2$ then $n \notin Q$.*

Proof. By hypothesis $p \mid n$ but $p^2 \nmid n$. It follows that we can't have both $p \mid x$ and $p \mid y$. Now suppose $p \nmid y$. Choose w so that $yw \equiv 1 \mod p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & 4an = 4ax^2 + 4abxy + 4acy^2 = (2ax + by)^2 - dy^2 \\ \implies \quad & d \equiv dy^2w^2 = [(2ax + by)w]^2 - 4aw^2n \equiv [(2ax + by)w]^2 \mod p, \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows since $p \mid n$. After switching the roles of a and c a similar argument can be used to cover the case that $p \nmid x$. The result follows. ∎

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Since $\mathbf{q}$ is positive definite we must have $d < 0$. By Corollary 5.3 we can thus find an infinite sequence of distinct odd primes $p_1, p_2, \ldots$ with $\left(\frac{d}{p_j}\right) = -1$ for all j. Suppose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let M denote the set of all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ which solve

$$m \equiv (p_j - j + 1) \mod p_j^2, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, k.$$

The Chinese Remainder Theorem (see [R, Theorem 3.1.8]) gives $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that

$$M = \left\{m \in \mathbb{Z} : m \equiv m_0 \mod (p_1 \ldots p_k)^2\right\}. \tag{15}$$

Let $m \in M$. For $j = 1, \ldots, k$ we have $m + j - 1 \equiv p_j \mod p_j^2$ so $m + j - 1 \notin Q$ by Corollary 5.5. It follows that $Q \cap [m, m + k - 1] = \emptyset$. ∎

Acknowledgement

The author wishes to acknowledge the hospitality of the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences in Cambridge, UK, where this work was initiated during the programme *Periodic and Ergodic Spectral Problems*.

References

[BM] C. Bardos and M. Merigot, *Asymptotic decay of the solution of a second-order elliptic equation in an unbounded domain. Applications to the spectral properties of a Hamiltonian*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **76** (1977), 323-344.

[C-S] J. Cruz-Sampedro, *Unique Continuation at Infinity of Solutions to Schrödinger Equations with Complex-Valued Potentials*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (1999) **42**, 143-153.

[FH1] R. Froese, I. Herbst, M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof and T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, *L^2-Exponential Lower Bounds to Solutions of the Schrödinger Equation*, Comm. Math. Phys. **87** (1982), 265-286.

[FH2] R. Froese, I. Herbst, M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof and T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, *On the absence of positive eigenvalues for one-body Schrödinger operators*. J. d'Analyse **41** (1982) 272-284.

[KLW] C. Kenig, L. Silvestre and J-N. Wang, *On Landis' Conjecture in the Plane*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **40** (2015), 766-789.

[KL] V. A. Kondratiev and E. M. Landis, E.M. *Qualitative Theory of Second Order Linear Partial Differential Equations* Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki: Sovremennye Problemy Mat.: Fundamental'nye Napravleniya **32**, VINITI, Moscow, 1988, 99-218; English transl. in *Partial Differential Equations III*, Encyclopedia of Math. Sci. **32**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.

[K] P. A. Kuchment, *Floquet Theory for Partial Differential Equations*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. **60**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1993.

[M1] V. Z. Meshkov, *Weighted differential inequalities and their application for estimating the rate of decrease at infinity of solutions of second-order elliptic equations*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov **190** (1989), 139-158; English transl., Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **190** (1992), No. 1, 145-166.

[M2] V. Z. Meshkov, *On the Possible Rate of Decay at Infinity of Solutions of Second Order Partial Differential Equations*, Mat. Sbornik **182** (1991), No. 3, 364-383; English transl., Math. USSR Sbornik **72** (1992), No. 2, 343-361.

[RS] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics IV: Analysis of Operators*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1979.

[R] H. E. Rose, *A Course in Number Theory*, 2nd Edition, Oxford University Press, 1994.

[S] B. Simon, *Schrödinger Semigroups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (1982), 447-526.

[T] L. E. Thomas, *Time dependent approach to scattering from impurities in a crystal*, Comm. Math. Phys. **33** (1973), 335-343.